

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,881	01/05/2004	Joachim Boltz	R.303403	2097
7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER		
RONALD E. GREIGG			HOANG, JOHNNY H	
GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C. Suite One			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1423 Powhatan Street Alexandria, VA 22314			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		n / /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/750,881	BOLTZ, JOACHIM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Johnny H. Hoang	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ne 2004.					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
	1					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 8 is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,9,12 and 14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 10,11,13 and 15-20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2004 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3747

Page 2

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of invention 3, claims 1-4, and 6-20, in paper with the

mailing date June 14, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that search and

examination of the application could be made without serious burden. This is not found

persuasive because, the examiner has indicated separate classifications of the subject matter of

the respective inventions. For purposes of the initial requirement, a serious burden on the

examiner may be prima facie shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation of

separate classification, or separate status in the art, or a different field of search as defined in

MPEP § 808.02. That prima facie showing may be rebutted by appropriate showings or

evidence by the applicant. Applicant's allegation that search and examination of the application

could be made without serious burden is unsupported by appropriate showings or evidence.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 5 and 8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b),

as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper mailing date

06/14/2004. Claim 8 is withdrawn since it depends upon claim 5.

Art Unit: 3747

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keiczek (US 4,205,790).

Regarding to claim 1, the patent to Keiczek teaches a fuel injector including the following subject matters:

a nozzle body (1) protruding into the combustion chamber, two coaxial nozzle needles (2, 5), the outer nozzle needle (2) being guided in the nozzle body (1) [see figs. 1-4],

a second nozzle needle seat in the nozzle body (1) for the outer nozzle needle (2) [see figs. 1-4], and

a first nozzle needle seat for the inner nozzle needle (5), the inner nozzle needle (5) being guided in the outer nozzle needle (2) [see figs. 1-4], and

the first nozzle needle seat (4) being disposed in the outer nozzle needle (2) [above rejections and further col. 2, lines 36-68].

Regarding claim 2, the reference of Keiczek further teaches in col. 1, line 31 through col. 2, line 35.

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to

Page 4

a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keiczek in view

of Boecking (US 6,725,841 B1).

Regarding claims 3, and 4, the reference of Keiczek teaches all above claimed invention

except a first control chamber, present in the outer nozzle needle, and a closure element

cooperating with the outer nozzle needle defines the first control chamber on the other end.

The patent to Boecking teaches a control valve for injectors, which included the control

chamber (11), present in the nozzle needle (21), and closure element [see figs. 1-3, and col. 4,

line 6, through col. 5, line 48].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to have utilized the control valve for injection system which including the above limitations

as taught by Boecking in the fuel injector system of Keiczek, so as to provide improved method

for the present invention which is including the required subject matter, since more information

is combined and can be processed more precisely at a higher technology facility.

Regarding claims 6, 7, 9, 12, and 14 as above discussions

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 10-11, 13, and 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3747

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The copies of U.S Patent are provided.

Ishida (US 5,771,865), Keiczek (US 4,202,500), and W. F. Joachim (US 1,834,061).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 308-2782. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00Am-5: 30Pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHH

September 27, 2004

Johnny H. Hoang Examiner

Art Unit 3747

Page 5

Willis R. Wolfe
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747